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Modeling of multiscale phenomena
Microscopic models and coarse-graining

- Microscopic description
- E.g. molecular dynamics
  \[ \forall i : m_i \ddot{x}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N} F_{ij} \]
  - Expensive
  - (Too) much detail

\[ \downarrow \textbf{Coarse-graining} \]

- Macroscopic description
  - PDE for particle densities
    \[ \partial_t U(x, t) = D \partial_{xx} U(x, t) \]
  - Only averaged quantities
  - Often not possible analytically
Numerical coarse-graining
Coarse time-stepper

- A macroscopic model should exist, but is unavailable

- Approximate time-stepper for the macroscopic variables using microscopic simulations

- Lifting $u(x, t) := \mathcal{L}(U)(x, t)$
  - need to fill in “implied” data

- Microscopic simulation
  - possibly of an ensemble

- Restriction $U(x, t + \Delta t) := \mathcal{R}(u)(x, t + \Delta t)$
Outline

- Newton-Krylov methods and preconditioning
- Preconditioning with an approximate macroscopic model
  - lattice Boltzmann model
  - coarse traveling wave solutions
- Patch dynamics and multi-grid preconditioning
  - homogenization problems
Timestepper-based bifurcation analysis

\[ \partial_t \bar{U} = F(\bar{U}) \]

\[ \bar{U} \rightarrow \Phi_\tau(\bar{U}) \rightarrow \bar{U}(t + \tau) \]

\[ \bar{U} - \Phi_\tau(\bar{U}) = 0 \]

\[ D \Phi_\tau(\bar{U}) \]

Newton — Krylov

Newton — Picard

Tuckerman and Barkley, Bifurcation analysis for timesteppers.
Lust and Roose, Computation and bifurcation analysis of periodic solutions of large-scale systems.
Coarse bifurcation analysis

$$\Phi_\tau(\bar{U})$$

$$\bar{U} - \Phi_\tau(\bar{U}) = 0$$

$$D\Phi_\tau(\bar{U})$$

$$\bar{U} + \epsilon \cdot v$$

$$D\Phi_\tau(\bar{U}) \cdot v$$

Newton – Krylov
Newton – Picard

I.G. Kevrekidis et al. 2000 - …
Newton—GMRES and preconditioning

- Nonlinear system \( \bar{U} - \Phi_\tau(\bar{U}) = 0 \)

- Newton-Raphson procedure
  - iterative method \( \bar{U}^{(k+1)} = \bar{U}^{(k)} + d\bar{U}^{(k)} \)
  - in each step, solve a linear system
    \[
    \left( I - D\Phi_\tau(\bar{U}^{(k)}) \right) d\bar{U}^{(k)} = -\left( \bar{U}^{(k)} - \Phi_\tau(\bar{U}^{(k)}) \right)
    \]

- We only have matrix-vector products
  - iterative method, such as GMRES
  - performance depends on spectrum \( \Rightarrow \) precondition
    \[
    M^{-1} \left( I - D\Phi_\tau(\bar{U}^{(k)}) \right) d\bar{U}^{(k)} = -M^{-1} \left( \bar{U}^{(k)} - \Phi_\tau(\bar{U}^{(k)}) \right)
    \]
Outline

- Newton-Krylov methods and preconditioning
- Preconditioning with an approximate macroscopic model
  - lattice Boltzmann model
  - coarse traveling wave solutions
- Patch dynamics and multi-grid preconditioning
  - homogenization problems
Lattice Boltzmann model problem

\[
\begin{align*}
 f_i(x + c_i dx, t + dt) &= (1 - \omega)f_i(x, t) - \omega f_i^{eq}(x, t) - E(x, t) \sum_j V_{ij} f_j(x, t) + R_i(x, t), \\
 \partial_t E(x, t) &= -\rho(x, t) E(x, t) - a \partial_x \rho(x, t)
\end{align*}
\]

- Modeling of ionization waves
- Position and velocity of electrons are important
  - fast particles collide with (immobile) ions (which are not modeled)
  - collision generates 2 slow electrons, which are accelerated
- Time-scale separation
  - an effective reaction-diffusion equation exists for density
  - the reaction term cannot be obtained in closed form
Lattice Boltzmann model problem

- Traveling waves, which move with constant speed
- Avalanche of electrons, which shield the electrical field
Traveling wave solutions as fixed points
Numerical example

- Coarse time-stepper
  - lifting is done with constrained runs (see PVL)
  - runs LBM for 20 steps
- Preconditioner
  - "sloppy" Chapman-Enskog to get an approximate PDE
  - implicit Euler time-stepper

![Graphs](image-url)
Convergence and performance

- System size: 2601 mesh-points
- Spectrum bounded away from zero
- GMRES converges in 30-40 iterations

Outline

- Newton-Krylov methods and preconditioning
- Preconditioning with an approximate macroscopic model
  - model problem: lattice Boltzmann
  - coarse traveling wave solutions
- Patch dynamics and multi-grid preconditioning
  - patch dynamics
  - multi-grid
  - model problem: homogenization
Coarse time-stepper
Increasing efficiency

Microscopic simulations over whole domain: too expensive!
Compute only in small fraction of space-time domain

Projective integration
Patch dynamics

Gear and Kevrekidis, Projective integration for stiff differential equations, SISC 24:1091-1106, 2004
Finite Difference Patch Dynamics

Unknown partial differential equation:

\[ \partial_t U = F(U, \partial_x U, \ldots, \partial_x^d U) \]

S, Kevrekidis, Roose, Patch dynamics with buffers for homogenization problems, JCP 213: 264-287, 2006
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Finite Difference Patch Dynamics

Unknown partial differential equation:

\[ \partial_t U = F(U, \partial_x U, \ldots, \partial^{d-1}_x U) \]

Computational experiment

I.C.: Taylor series

\[ U_i(x, t) = \sum_k D^k(U)(x-x_i)^k \]

---
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Finite Difference Patch Dynamics

Unknown partial differential equation:

\[ \partial_t U = F(U, \partial_x U, \ldots, \partial_{x}^{d} U) \]

Computational experiment

I.C.: Taylor series

\[ U_i(x, t) = \sum_k D^k(U) \frac{(x-x_i)^k}{k!} \]

Run for a time \( \delta t \)
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Multi-grid preconditioning

- Nonlinear system \( \bar{U} - \Phi_\tau(\bar{U}) = 0 \)

- Linear system in each Newton step
  \[
  \left( I - D\Phi_\tau(\bar{U}^{(k)}) \right) d\bar{U}^{(k)} = - \left( \bar{U}^{(k)} - \Phi_\tau(\bar{U}^{(k)}) \right)
  \]

- We only have matrix-vector products => GMRES

- Precondition with a few (1) iterations of a different solver
  \[
  M^{-1} \left( I - D\Phi_\tau(\bar{U}^{(k)}) \right) d\bar{U}^{(k)} = - M^{-1} \left( \bar{U}^{(k)} - \Phi_\tau(\bar{U}^{(k)}) \right)
  \]

  Here \( M^{-1} \) represents a single multi-grid cycle

- Can be inaccurate ; has to be cheap
Multi-grid idea 1
Smoothing
Multi-grid idea 1
Smoothing

\[ \sim \text{Linear system} \left( I - D\Phi_{\tau}(\bar{U}^{(k)}) \right) d\bar{U}^{(k)} = - \left( \bar{U}^{(k)} - \Phi_{\tau}(\bar{U}^{(k)}) \right) \]
Multi-grid idea 1

Smoothing

\[ \text{Linear system} \left( I - D\Phi_\tau(\bar{U}^{(k)}) \right) d\bar{U}^{(k)} = - \left( \bar{U}^{(k)} - \Phi_\tau(\bar{U}^{(k)}) \right) \]

\[ A \quad x \quad = \quad b \]
Multi-grid idea 1
Smoothing

Linear system
\[ Ax = b \]

We assume to have an iterative method of the form
\[ x^{(m+1)} = x^{(m)} + p(A) \left( b - Ax^{(m)} \right) \]
Multi-grid idea 1

- Linear system $Ax = b$
- We assume to have an iterative method of the form
  \[ x^{(m+1)} = x^{(m)} + p(A) \left( b - Ax^{(m)} \right) \]
- Good error smoothing, but slow convergence

![Graph showing error versus $x$ with different smoothing steps]

- 0 smoothing steps
- 5 smoothing steps
- 100 smoothing steps
Multi-grid idea 2
Coarse-grid correction

~ Do a few iterations until error is smooth: smoothing
~ Solve for the error on a coarser grid

Presmothing: \( \bar{x}_h^{(m)} = S(x_h^{(m)}, b, \nu_1) \)

Coarse grid correction

Compute defect: \( d_h^{(m)} = b - A_h \bar{x}_h^{(m)} \)

Restrict defect: \( d_{2h}^{(m)} = I_{2h}^{2h} d_h^{(m)} \)

Coarse grid solve: \( A_{2h} v_{2h} = d_{2h}^{(m)} \)

Interpolate correction: \( v_h^{(m)} = I_{2h}^h v_{2h}^{(m)} \)

Update fine-grid solution: \( \hat{x}_h^{(m)} = \bar{x}_h^{(m)} + v_h^{(m)} \)

Postsmothing: \( x_h^{(m+1)} = S(\hat{x}_h^{(m)}, b, \nu_1) \)
Multi-grid idea 2

Coarse-grid correction

Presmoothing: \[ \bar{x}_h^{(m)} = S(x_h^{(m)}, b, \nu_1) \]

Coarse grid correction

Compute defect: \[ d_h^{(m)} = b - A_h \bar{x}^{(m)} \]

Restrict defect: \[ d_{2h}^{(m)} = I_{2h}^{h} d^{(m)} \]

Coarse grid solve: \[ A_{2h} v_{2h} = d_{2h}^{(m)} \]

Interpolate correction: \[ v_h^{(m)} = I_{2h}^{h} v_{2h}^{(m)} \]

Update fine-grid solution: \[ \hat{x}_h^{(m)} = \bar{x}_h^{(m)} + v_h^{(m)} \]

Postsmoothing: \[ x_h^{(m+1)} = S(\hat{x}_h^{(m)}, b, \nu_1) \]
Multi-grid idea 2

Coarse-grid correction

Presmoothing: \( \hat{x}_h^{(m)} = S(x_h^{(m)}, b, \nu_1) \)

Coarse grid correction

Compute defect: \( d_h^{(m)} = b - A_h \bar{x}^{(m)} \)
Restrict defect: \( d_{2h}^{(m)} = I_{2h}^h d^{(m)} \)
Coarse grid solve: \( A_{2h} v_{2h} = d_{2h}^{(m)} \)
Interpolate correction: \( v_h^{(m)} = I_h^{2h} v_{2h}^{(m)} \)
Update fine-grid solution: \( \hat{x}_h^{(m)} = \bar{x}_h^{(m)} + v_h^{(m)} \)

Postsmoothering: \( x_h^{(m+1)} = S(\hat{x}_h^{(m)}, b, \nu_1) \)
Model homogenization problem

“Microscopic” equation: \( \partial_t u(x, t) = \partial_x \left( a \left( \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \partial_x u(x, t) \right) + r(u(x, t)) \)

Macroscopic equation: \( \partial_t U(x, t) = \partial_x \left( a^* \partial_x U(x, t) \right) + r(U(x, t)) \)

Model problem for convergence analysis

PDE at both levels \( \Rightarrow \) possible to analyze convergence analytically

Elimination of additional effects (e.g. initialization of microscopic model)
Numerical results
Multigrid as solver

- Numerical results
- Multigrid as solver

- Norm of residual
- Iteration

- Pure diffusion model problem
- Chebychev polynomial smoother

- Eigenvalue $\lambda_k$
- Wave number $k$
- Norm of residual
- Convergence factor $1/17$
Numerical results
Multigrid as preconditioner

- Multigrid as preconditioner for GMRES is more efficient
- Behaviour identical to standard multigrid for PDEs

![Graphs showing convergence factor](image)
Conclusions and current work

- Newton-GMRES for coarse fixed points
- Preconditioning is necessary for fast convergence
  - Based on a “sloppy” macroscopic model
  - If patch dynamics: multi-grid
- Currently investigating:
  - Decreasing accuracy of the macroscopic model
  - Extend multi-grid ideas to hyperbolic problems